

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,067	GINZBURG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri H. Phan	2616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Tri H. Phan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert D. Schaffer (Reg# 33,775).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Typographical error with end period in claim 36.
Claims discussed:
Claim 36.
Prior art documents discussed:
None.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed typographical error in claim 36 and agreement was reached. Authorization for examiner's amendment on claim 36.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)